

Report Created:
9/16/2014 3:01:11 PM

**Streamflow Forecast Summary: February 1, 2009
(averages based on 1981-2010 reference period)**

| SALT RIVER BASIN | Forecast Period | Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|---|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| | | 90% (KAF) | 70% (KAF) | 50% (KAF) | % Avg | 30% (KAF) | 10% (KAF) | 30yr Avg (KAF) |
| Salt R nr Roosevelt ³ | FEB | 26 | 46 | 65 | 141% | 88 | 132 | 46 |
| | FEB-MAY | 149 | 245 | 330 | 93% | 435 | 620 | 355 |
| Tonto Ck ab Gun Ck nr Roosevelt ³ | FEB | 2.4 | 9.5 | 17 | 135% | 27 | 45 | 12.6 |
| | FEB-MAY | 7.9 | 24 | 43 | 86% | 70 | 126 | 50 |

1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%

2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions

3) Median value used in place of average

| VERDE RIVER BASIN | Forecast Period | Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|---|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| | | 90% (KAF) | 70% (KAF) | 50% (KAF) | % Avg | 30% (KAF) | 10% (KAF) | 30yr Avg (KAF) |
| Verde R bl Tangle Ck ab Horseshoe Dam ³ | FEB | 14.3 | 30 | 45 | 129% | 65 | 103 | 35 |
| | FEB-MAY | 74 | 125 | 170 | 85% | 225 | 325 | 200 |

1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%

2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions

3) Median value used in place of average

| SAN FRANCISCO-UPPER GILA RIVER BASIN | Forecast Period | Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| | | 90% (KAF) | 70% (KAF) | 50% (KAF) | % Avg | 30% (KAF) | 10% (KAF) | 30yr Avg (KAF) |
| Gila R at Gila ³ | FEB-MAY | 20 | 29 | 37 | 70% | 46 | 61 | 53 |
| Gila R bl Blue Ck nr Virden ³ | FEB-MAY | 16 | 21 | 43 | 57% | 65 | 97 | 75 |
| San Francisco R at Glenwood ³ | FEB-MAY | 10.9 | 15.9 | 20 | 83% | 25 | 33 | 24 |
| San Francisco R at Clifton ³ | FEB-MAY | 18 | 23 | 46 | 78% | 69 | 102 | 59 |
| Gila R nr Solomon ³ | FEB | | | 27 | 113% | | | 24 |
| | FEB-MAY | 25 | 37 | 93 | 65% | 149 | 230 | 144 |
| San Carlos Reservoir Inflow ³ | FEB-MAY | 10 | 20 | 62 | 74% | 104 | 165 | 84 |

1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%

2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions

3) Median value used in place of average

| LITTLE COLORADO RIVER BASIN | Forecast Period | Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|---|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| | | 90% (KAF) | 70% (KAF) | 50% (KAF) | % Avg | 30% (KAF) | 10% (KAF) | 30yr Avg (KAF) |
| Little Colorado R ab Lyman Lake ³ | FEB-JUN | 2.5 | 5.3 | 8 | 113% | 11.5 | 18.3 | 7.1 |
| Rio Nutria nr Ramah ³ | FEB-MAY | 0.15 | 1.04 | 2.4 | 80% | 4.6 | 9.8 | 3 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|
| Ramah Reservoir Inflow ³ | FEB-MAY | 0 | 0.1 | 1.33 | 80% | 3.1 | 5.8 | 1.66 |
| Zuni R ab Black Rock Reservoir ³ | FEB-MAY | 0.48 | 0.83 | 1.15 | 85% | 1.54 | 2.3 | 1.36 |
| Blue Ridge Reservoir Inflow ³ | FEB-MAY | 6.4 | 11.4 | 16 | 98% | 22 | 32 | 16.3 |
| Lake Mary Reservoir Inflow ³ | FEB-MAY | 2.3 | 3.7 | 5 | 104% | 6.5 | 9.3 | 4.8 |

1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%

2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions

3) Median value used in place of average

| CHUSKA MOUNTAINS | Forecast Period | Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| | | 90% (KAF) | 70% (KAF) | 50% (KAF) | % Avg | 30% (KAF) | 10% (KAF) | 30yr Avg (KAF) |
| Captain Tom Wash nr Two Gray Hills | MAR-MAY | 0.42 | 1.76 | 3.5 | 124% | 6.1 | 12 | 2.83 |
| Wheatfields Ck nr Wheatfields | MAR-MAY | 1.69 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 121% | 4.5 | 6.3 | 2.9 |
| Bowl Canyon Ck ab Asaayi Lake | MAR-MAY | 0.37 | 0.79 | 1.2 | 120% | 1.74 | 2.8 | 1 |
| Kinlichee Ck | MAR-MAY | 0.3 | 0.86 | 1.5 | 88% | 2.4 | 4.2 | 1.7 |

1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%

2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions

3) Median value used in place of average

| NORTHWESTERN ARIZONA | Forecast Period | Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| | | 90% (KAF) | 70% (KAF) | 50% (KAF) | % Avg | 30% (KAF) | 10% (KAF) | 30yr Avg (KAF) |
| Virgin R at Littlefield | APR-JUL | 36 | 56 | 71 | 96% | 88 | 117 | 74 |
| Lake Powell Inflow ² | APR-JUL | 4610 | 6630 | 8000 | 101% | 9370 | 11400 | 7930 |

1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%

2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions

3) Median value used in place of average